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New Jersey’s colonial settlements were along navigable waterways. As a result, many of the State’s population centers are located within flood hazard areas today. Older development was often built without regard for potential flood risk.
FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT

- Flood risk is generally measured by what has happened in the past.
- Based on data collected over the past 50+ years, as shown on FEMA flood insurance rate maps.
- Not an accurate predictor of today’s flood risk.
- Not a sound methodology for predicting flood risk due to climate change.
People need to be aware of flood risks when buying, renting, occupying or developing property.

Mapping is a good starting point to assess risk but flooding often exceeds mapped floodplain limits.

Floods don’t stop at a line on a map.
SNAPSHOT OF NJ

- Population 9.267 million (2021)
- Most densely populated state in the nation
- Approximately 16% of NJ lies within a flood hazard area
- According to 2019 State Hazard Mitigation Plan, NJ has:
  - $241 billion of general building stock exposure to the 1% annual chance flood
  - Roughly 3 million properties not covered by an NFIP policy
  - 16,809 repetitive loss properties (73% are single family homes)
  - 1,238 severe repetitive loss properties (83% are single family homes)
  - 1,707 critical facilities and infrastructure located in the 1% flood hazard area
- $15.3 billion in obligations under post-disaster grants (1990-2021)
- Highest foreclosure rate in the nation, with one in every 605 properties in some stage of foreclosure (Experian, 2018)

“Flooding is NJ’s #1 Natural Hazard” (FEMA, August 4, 2004)
STATEWIDE FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT

- Longstanding statewide program dating back to 1929
- NJ design flood in fluvial areas is calculated as 125% of the 1% peak discharge and is always at least one foot above FEMA’s 1% elevation
- One foot of freeboard required above design flood elevation for buildings and roads
- Statewide 0% flood storage displacement standard
- Critical buildings and multi-residence buildings in fluvial areas must have “dry access” during flood events
- Progressive statewide stormwater management policies
- Progressive stream corridor protection standards

Despite the above, flood damage in NJ continues to increase.
According to Pew Charitable Trusts, flood-related natural disasters accounted for over 70% of presidential disaster declarations from the years between 2008-2017.
Expected Annual Economic Losses to the Residential Sector Under Current Conditions, by Sources of Damage and Compensation

- Total = $34 Billion
  - Wind Damage: $14 Billion
  - Flood Damage: $20 Billion

- Uncompensated Losses: 22%
- Federal Disaster Assistance: 2%
- Insurance Claim Payments: 77%

- Uncompensated Losses: 66%
- Federal Disaster Assistance: 17%
- National Flood Insurance Program Claim Payments: 16%

CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE
APRIL 2019
2020 U.S. Flood Fatalities
Activity of Victims

- Driving: 63%
- At Home: 13%
- Walking: 7%
- Fell In: 7%
- Other: 7%
- Boating: 1%
- Working: 2%

Source: NOAA/National Weather Service
SOCIOECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS

Most densely populated state
+ Chronic flooding issues
+ 16% of state lies in a flood hazard area
+ Enormous development pressure
+ Development can increase flooding
+ Climate change

= Unsustainable Condition
Executive and Administrative Orders

EO 100 signed by Governor Murphy

AO 2020-01 signed by Commissioner McCabe

- Directed NJDEP to integrate climate change considerations, including sea level rise and chronic flooding into its regulatory and permitting programs
Higher temperatures increase the energy in the atmosphere, which increases the potential for more intense storm events.

By the end of the 21st century, heavy storm events are projected to occur 200 to 500% more often and with more intensity than in the 20th century.

INTENSIFYING RAINFALL & FLOODING IN NEW JERSEY

- The data presently used to analyze flood potential in waterways and in the design of stormwater infrastructure is outdated and includes data only through 1999.

- The precipitation expectations that presently guide state policy, planning and development criteria do not accurately reflect current precipitation intensity conditions.
November 2021: NJDEP and the Northeast Regional Climate Center, a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) partner, released studies showing past and projected increases in precipitation.

**CURRENT PRECIPITATION**

Since 1999:
- The 2-year storm has increased as much as 5%.
- The 10-year storm has increased as much as 7%.
- The 100-year storm has increased as much as 15%.

**FUTURE PRECIPITATION**

Over the coming decades:
- The 2-year storm is likely to increase by as much as 24%.
- The 10-year storm likely to increased as much as 27%.
- The 100-year storm likely to increased as much as 50%.

More Rain = More Stormwater Runoff
More Runoff = Increased Riverine Flow
More Flow = Higher Flood Elevations
EFFECTS OF INCREASING PRECIPITATION

- Adds stress on already overtaxed infrastructure and overwhelms stormwater management systems
- Increased fluvial flood depths
- Increased risk to life and property
September 1, 2021

Record rainfalls reported

State Climatologist: Newark experienced the highest one-hour rainfall total (3.65 inches) ever recorded there

National Weather Service documented over 10 inches of rainfall in parts of Hunterdon, Essex, Middlesex and Union Counties
IDA COMPARED WITH FLOOD HAZARD RULES: CASE STUDIES

Prior FHACA Rules set the design flood elevation (DFE) as the higher of:

- Flood elevation mapped by NJDEP (where available)
- FEMA 100-year elevation plus 1 ft

Ida case studies show average elevations of 3.1 feet above FEMA’s 100-year flood elevation.

- This is 2.1 ft higher than the prior DFE
RARITAN RIVER AT BOUND BROOK

- Flooding during Ida equaled 1999’s Hurricane Floyd, which was the highest elevation ever recorded at Bound Brook.

- The 500-year flood elevation at this location has been exceeded three times since 1999.
MILLSTONE RIVER AT MANVILLE

DURING IDA
INLAND FLOOD PROTECTION RULE
Adopted 7/17/23

Applies to:
- Stormwater Management rules, N.J.A.C. 7:8
- Flood Hazard Area Control Act rules, N.J.A.C. 7:13

SWM Key Points:
- Requires stormwater systems to be designed to manage runoff for both today’s storms and anticipated future storms.
- Prevents use of Rational and Modified Rational methods for stormwater calculations.

FHA Key Points:
- Raises fluvial design flood elevations by two feet when State/FEMA flood mapping is used.
- Requires use of future projected precipitation when calculating flood elevations.
- Ensures that permits and authorizations under the FHACA rules meet minimum NFIP standards.
Effect of New Flood Hazard Area Design Flood Elevation
Effect of New Flood Hazard Area Design Flood Elevation
Effect of New Flood Hazard Area Design Flood Elevation
STORMWATER EXAMPLE:
2.5 Acre Site
Existing Conditions: Forested and Undeveloped
Sussex County
### SIZE OF BMP (% OF SITE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil A</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>11.0% +0.0%</td>
<td>11.7% +0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil B</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>9.0% +0.2%</td>
<td>11.3% +1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil C</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>9.0% +0.4%</td>
<td>11.1% +1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil D</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>11.4% +0.2%</td>
<td>13.6% +2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Likely Additional Increase**
SIZE OF BMP (% OF SITE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil A</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.1% +0.0%</td>
<td>4.7% +0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil B</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>4.1% +0.2%</td>
<td>5.5% +1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil C</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.5% +0.2%</td>
<td>5.9% +1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil D</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>6.1% +0.3%</td>
<td>8.0% +2.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Likely additional increase
If a project needs any of the following permits or authorizations:

- Flood Hazard Area
- Freshwater Wetlands
- CAFRA
- Waterfront Development
- Highlands

And a technically complete application was submitted to NJDEP prior to 7/17/23

Then the whole project is not subject to the new standards

Provided the submitted application includes a stormwater management review component
Note: We now use the term “legacy” instead of “grandfather” to describe applications that are not subject to new rules.

Applications that will not “legacy” a project:

- Applicability Determinations
- Flood Hazard Area verifications
- Freshwater Wetlands LOI
- Applications that do not include a stormwater management review component
  - For example, stand-alone Freshwater Wetlands applications that do not trigger stormwater even if the overall project is a major development
- Applications that are not technically complete prior to 7/17/23
  - If an application was received prior to 7/17/23 and it is subsequently found to be technically complete within the pre-review period, then it is “legacied.”
  - If an application was received prior to 7/17/23 and it is subsequently found to NOT be technically complete within the pre-review period, then it is NOT “legacied” – even if the problems are fixed later.
Stormwater Management Rules

Section 1.6: Applicability to Major Development

- Projects that do not require WLM approval can be “legacied” if certain local approvals under the MLUL are obtained prior to date of rulemaking.

- Municipalities must amend their municipal stormwater ordinance within one year of the rulemaking.

- Projects covered by RSIS must meet new standards immediately (unless covered by N.J.A.C. 7:8-1.6(b)1).
Note: There are now two tiers of potential “legacying:”

- Technically complete applications received prior to the March 2, 2021, rulemaking (that incorporated green infrastructure requirements) are subject to the Stormwater Management Rules prior to March 2, 2021

We now have three sets of Stormwater Management Rules depending on when a technically complete application is received – or when a public transportation entity determines a “preferred alternative:”

- Prior to March 2, 2021
- Between March 2, 2021, and July 17, 2023
- After July 17, 2023
Table 5-5: Current Precipitation Adjustment Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>2-Year Design Storm</th>
<th>10-Year Design Storm</th>
<th>100-Year Design Storm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergen</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape May</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essex</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloucester</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunterdon</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercer</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middlesex</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passaic</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somerset</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sussex</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use this to adjust 1999 rainfall to 2019

Table 5-6: Future Precipitation Change Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>2-Year Design Storm</th>
<th>10-Year Design Storm</th>
<th>100-Year Design Storm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergen</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape May</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essex</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloucester</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunterdon</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercer</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middlesex</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passaic</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somerset</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sussex</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use this to adjust 1999 rainfall to 2100
N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.4 Groundwater recharge standards

- Where an applicant proposes to recharge the increase of stormwater runoff volume from pre-construction to post-construction, they will now need to calculate these volumes using the projected year 2100 two-year storm in Table 5-6.

N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.6 Stormwater runoff quantity standards

- Applicants now need to demonstrate compliance for six different sets of precipitation:
  
  **Year 2019 precipitation:**
  - Existing and proposed 2-year storm
  - Existing and proposed 10-year storm
  - Existing and proposed 100-year storm

  **Year 2100 precipitation:**
  - Existing and proposed 2-year storm
  - Existing and proposed 10-year storm
  - Existing and proposed 100-year storm

Necessary to ensure that the BMPs will work for today’s runoff and also for future runoff.
Flood Hazard Area Control Act rules

Section 2.1: When a Permit or Authorization Is Required

All regulated activities in the prior and expanded flood hazard area are subject to the new standards except in four cases:
Flood Hazard Area Control Act rules
Section 2.1: When a Permit or Authorization Is Required

Case #1: The regulated activity is part of a project that has a valid FHA permit (see N.J.A.C. 7:13-2.1(c)1i)
- Example: Three-unit subdivision: If the red house has a valid permit, the blue house is “legacied.”
Flood Hazard Area Control Act rules
Section 2.1: When a Permit or Authorization Is Required

Case #2: The regulated activity is part of a project that needs an FHA permit and a technically complete application for such was submitted to NJDEP prior to 7/17/23 (see N.J.A.C. 7:13-2.1(c)1ii)

- Example: Three-unit subdivision: If a technically complete application for the red house was received prior to 7/17/23, the blue house is “legacied.”
Case #3: The regulated activity is part of a project that did not need an FHA permit prior to 7/17/23 but it received one of the following municipal approvals prior to 7/17/23 (see N.J.A.C. 7:13-2.1(c)4i):

1. Preliminary or final site plan approval;
2. Final municipal building or construction permit;
3. Minor subdivision approval where no subsequent site plan approval is required;
4. Final subdivision approval where no subsequent site plan approval is required; or
5. Preliminary subdivision approval where no subsequent site plan approval is required
Flood Hazard Area Control Act rules
Section 2.1: When a Permit or Authorization Is Required

Case #4: The regulated activity is part of a project that did not need an FHA permit prior to 7/17/23 and construction commenced prior to 7/17/23 (see N.J.A.C. 7:13-2.1(c)4ii)
Case #4: The regulated activity is part of a project that did not need an FHA permit prior to 7/17/23 and construction commenced prior to 7/17/23 (see N.J.A.C. 7:13-2.1(c)4ii)

“Commencement of regulated activities means either the first placement of permanent construction of a structure on a site, such as the pouring of slab or footings, the installation of piles, the construction of columns, the placement of subsurface improvements for a roadway, the installation of all of the bedding materials for a utility line, or any work beyond the stage of excavation.

Permanent construction does not include land preparation, such as clearing, grading, and filling.”
Flood Hazard Area Control Act rules
Subchapter 3: Determining the Flood Hazard Area and Floodway

Method 1: Department delineation
- New design flood elevation is *2 feet above* NJFHADFE shown on Department delineation

Method 2: FEMA tidal method
- Unchanged – will be addressed in upcoming NJPACT proposal

Method 3: FEMA fluvial method
- New design flood elevation is *3 feet above* FEMA 1% flood elevation
Method 4: FEMA hydraulic method
- Use 125% of FEMA’s 1% peak flow rate to calculate flood elevation
- New design flood elevation is 2 feet above the calculated elevation

Method 5: Approximation method
- Flood elevations in the table and for overtopped roadways were increased by 1 foot

Method 6: Calculation method
- Use adjustment factors listed at new Table 3.6B to determine 1% peak flow rate using 2100 precipitation, and increase by 125%
- Same multipliers used in SWM rules
Flood Hazard Area Control Act rules

Subchapter 3: Determining the Flood Hazard Area and Floodway

Note: Obtaining a flood hazard area verification or applicability determination prior to rulemaking does not exempt a new project from the new standards.

• Verifications and APDs are a snapshot in time of our jurisdiction.

• Just like freshwater wetlands LOIs, site conditions can change over time.
Flood Hazard Area Control Act rules

Subchapter 3: Determining the Flood Hazard Area and Floodway

- If a person received a verification under the current rules, and subsequently applies for a permit or authorization after the adoption of new flood elevations, the project will need to be revised to reflect the rules in place at the time of application.

- The verification would then be reissued, concurrently with the permit, along with any necessary revisions to ensure the verification accurately depicts flooding on site.
Flood Hazard Area Control Act rules

Section 6.7: Conditions Applicable to a Permit-by-Rule or to an Authorization Pursuant to a General Permit-by-Certification or a General Permit

Section 10.1: Requirement to Obtain an Individual Permit

- Most standards in FHACA rules far exceed federal minimums.

- New standard ensure that activities authorized under the FHACA rules do not fall below minimum federal requirements under the NFIP.
QUESTIONS?